



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/436,347	11/09/1999	CHRISTINE A. WHITE	27693-01201	6491
47553 7590 01/29/2009 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP ATTN: DC PATENT DOCKETING 1501 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
HARRIS, ALANA M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1643				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/29/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/436,347

Applicant(s)

WHITE ET AL.

Examiner

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1643

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.(3) David Fitzgerald.(2) Jeffrey P. Kushan.(4) David P. Schenkein, M.D.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29-97.

Identification of prior art discussed: All references of record and cited in Non-Final Rejection mailed May 29, 2009.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: All participants discussed the pending 103(a) rejections. Dr. Schenkein reiterated points made in the declaration submitted December 1, 2008. Applicants' representatives and Dr. Schenkein delineated differences between CLL and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and methods of treating each disease and further discussed Remarks submitted December 1, 2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643